

greatest NASCAR drivers ever to get behind the wheel, Dale Earnhardt, who tragically died at this year's Daytona 500. The Nation not only lost an icon of the racing world, but also a great man.

Dale Earnhardt's career achievements are vast, better than most teams of drivers. In his 26 years of racing, Dale won 76 races and secured seven Winston Cup Championships. But, the biggest accomplishment Dale earned is the respect and admiration of his fellow drivers and his fans through his hard work and dedication to the sport he loved. Everyone involved in racing will never forget what Dale has done for the sport and how his accomplishments have forever turned racing into a way of life.

He had an aggressive driving style that was rivaled by none, and revered by all. Dale Earnhardt set the standard by which every driver was measured. On the race track it was all business. Off the track he was a man with a huge heart and a tender way who always had time for fans and other racers. You can never replace a driver like Dale Earnhardt, but his legend will live on.

As a motorsports enthusiast myself and co-chair of the Congressional Motorsports Caucus, it is with regret for me to make this Senate floor statement. Today I invite my Senate colleagues to join me in sending my sincere condolences to the Earnhardt family and everyone that has been touched by the man known as the Intimidator on the race track. The number 3 car will be missed on the track. But, racing will go on, Dale would have wanted it that way.

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA V. GARRETT SUPREME COURT CASE

Mr. THURMOND. Mr. President, during the Congressional recess last week, the Supreme Court issued an extremely important decision regarding the Americans with Disabilities Act and the principles of federalism. The decision, Board of Trustees of the University of Alabama v. Garrett, is one in a series of cases that is helping reassert the role of the States in our Federal system of Government.

The eleventh amendment to the Constitution prohibits States from being sued in Federal court by private citizens for money damages, unless the State consents. In the Garrett case, the Supreme Court said that based on this provision it is unconstitutional for the Congress to hold the States liable for private lawsuits under the ADA. The Congress did not or could not create a record of a pattern of discrimination by the States sufficient to meet the heavy burden required by the Constitution.

While the case referred to Title I of the ADA, which concerns employment discrimination, the reasoning of the

Court should apply equally to all of the ADA and well beyond the ADA.

I would like to note just one example. In 1998, the Supreme Court held that the language of the ADA was clear enough to cover state and local prisons. I immediately introduced legislation to exclude State and local prisons from the ADA because I do not believe that the Congress considered the ADA applying to these institutions when it passed the legislation. After all, the housing of prisoners is a core State function, with about 94 percent of prisoners being maintained in State and local facilities.

I have reintroduced the legislation, S. 34, in this Congress. However, this Supreme Court decision should be very beneficial in limiting the application of the ADA in the prison context on the State level even without the Congress amending the ADA. This is just an example of how this case will help keep the Federal Government out of areas that traditionally have been reserved to the States.

Far too often, the Congress ignores the principles of federalism and acts as though the States are subdivisions of the Federal Government. Decisions such as Garrett remind the Congress that this is simply not the case. The Constitution created a Federal Government of limited, enumerated powers, and those powers that the Constitution does not provide for the Federal Government are reserved to the States and to the people.

The Congress must do more to recognize the separation of powers between the Federal Government and the States. I am pleased that the Supreme Court is showing a renewed respect for the principles of federalism.

RULES OF THE FOREIGN RELATIONS COMMITTEE

Mr. HELMS. Mr. President, pursuant to the requirements of paragraph 2 of Senate rule XXVI, I ask unanimous consent to have printed in the RECORD the rules of the Committee on Foreign Relations for the 107th Congress adopted by the committee on February 7, 2001.

There being no objection, the material was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

RULES OF THE COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS

(Adopted February 7, 2001)

RULE 1—JURISDICTION

(a) *Substantive*.—In accordance with Senate Rule XXV.1(j), the jurisdiction of the Committee shall extend to all proposed legislation, messages, petitions, memorials, and other matters relating to the following subjects:

1. Acquisition of land and buildings for embassies and legations in foreign countries.
2. Boundaries of the United States.
3. Diplomatic service.
4. Foreign economic, military, technical, and humanitarian assistance.

5. Foreign loans.

6. International activities of the American National Red Cross and the International Committee of the Red Cross.

7. International aspects of nuclear energy, including nuclear transfer policy.

8. International conferences and congresses.

9. International law as it relates to foreign policy.

10. International Monetary Fund and other international organizations established primarily for international monetary purposes (except that, at the request of the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, any proposed legislation relating to such subjects reported by the Committee on Foreign Relations shall be referred to the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs).

11. Intervention abroad and declarations of war.

12. Measures to foster commercial intercourse with foreign nations and to safeguard American business interests abroad.

13. National security and international aspects of trusteeships of the United States.

14. Ocean and international environmental and scientific affairs as they relate to foreign policy.

15. Protection of United States citizens abroad and expatriation.

16. Relations of the United States with foreign nations generally.

17. Treaties and executive agreements, except reciprocal trade agreements.

18. United Nations and its affiliated organizations.

19. World Bank group, the regional development banks, and other international organizations established primarily for development assistance purposes.

The Committee is also mandated by Senate Rule XXV.1(j) to study and review, on a comprehensive basis, matters relating to the national security policy, foreign policy, and international economic policy as it relates to foreign policy of the United States, and matters relating to food, hunger, and nutrition in foreign countries, and report thereon from time to time.

(b) *Oversight*.—The Committee also has a responsibility under Senate Rule XXVI.8, which provides that "... each standing Committee ... shall review and study, on a continuing basis, the application, administration, and execution of those laws or parts of laws, the subject matter of which is within the jurisdiction of the Committee."

(c) *"Advice and Consent" Clauses*.—The Committee has a special responsibility to assist the Senate in its constitutional function of providing "advice and consent" to all treaties entered into by the United States and all nominations to the principal executive branch positions in the field of foreign policy and diplomacy.

RULE 2—SUBCOMMITTEES

(a) *Creation*.—Unless otherwise authorized by law or Senate resolution, subcommittees shall be created by majority vote of the Committee and shall deal with such legislation and oversight of programs and policies as the Committee directs. Legislative measures or other matters may be referred to a subcommittee for consideration in the discretion of the Chairman or by vote of a majority of the Committee. If the principal subject matter of a measure or matter to be referred falls within the jurisdiction of more than one subcommittee, the Chairman or the Committee may refer the matter to two or more subcommittees for joint consideration.

(b) *Assignments*.—Assignments of members to subcommittees shall be made in an equitable fashion. No member of the Committee